

Recommendation Testimony of Craig E. Brown

1 ***I. Introduction***

2 **Q. Please state your name and business affiliation.**

3 A. Craig E. Brown, Manager, B&V Management Consulting Division of Black &
4 Veatch Corporation.

5 **Q. On whose behalf are you appearing?**

6 A. I am appearing on behalf of the Board of Public Utilities of the Unified
7 Government of Kansas City, Kansas and Wyandotte County, Kansas (“BPU”).

8 **Q. Have you previously provided testimony in this matter?**

9 A. Yes. I previously sponsored direct and rebuttal testimony in this Rate Hearing.

10 **Q. What is the purpose of your recommendation testimony?**

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present changes to the original “Rate Plan”
12 (consisting of a series of four rate increases over four years designed to recover
13 the prudently incurred costs and to meet the minimum financial requirements to
14 maintain the current favorable bond rating and to increase liquidity) developed by
15 the BPU staff and Black & Veatch working together. I will present the results of
16 the revised Rate Plan, including recommended rate design for all classes for 2011
17 through 2013.

18 **Q. How is your testimony organized?**

19 A. My testimony is organized into four sections as follows:

20 I. Introduction

21 II. Summary of Changes to Revenue Requirements

22 III. Revised Rate Plan Cost of Service

1 IV. Recommended Rate Design

2 V. Environmental Surcharge

3 ***II. Summary of Changes to Revenue Requirements***

4 **Q. Can you please summarize the changes to revenue requirements that you**
5 **previously presented in your rebuttal testimony?**

6 A. Yes. The following summarizes the modifications that BPU staff has made to the
7 revenue requirements that affect the five-year financial forecast:

- 8 1. Recover currently known capacity charges related to purchase power in base
9 rate. The original Rate Plan proposed to recover all capacity charges related
10 to purchase power in the Energy Rate Component (ERC).
- 11 2. Delay the construction schedule for the planned combustion turbine
12 generating facility (CT5) by one year.
- 13 3. Reduce the non-labor escalation factor for operation and maintenance expense
14 from 4% to 3%.
- 15 4. Change the timing of the first bond issues for both capital and environmental
16 projects from July 2010 to January 2011. This adjustment also includes
17 shifting certain projects previously scheduled for 2010 into 2011.
- 18 5. Reduce the number of currently open positions that will be filled by 2014.
19 The original rate plan planned to fill all 681 currently approved positions by
20 2014. The BPU's current plan is to build to 635 positions in the study period.

21 **Q. Have any additional changes been made to the revenue requirements?**

22 A. Yes, as fully described in the Recommendation Testimony of Ms. Lori Austin, the
23 BPU made some changes to its Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

1 **Q. Please describe the additional changes to the CIP.**

2 A. The following additional changes have been made to the CIP:

- 3 1. \$4 million was removed from the study period CIP by delaying the start of a
4 project to purchase a new Electric Emergency Radio System and related
5 equipment that would support the BPU and Unified Government of
6 Wyandotte County operations.
- 7 2. The \$11 million Nearman – Wolcott 161 kW transmission line project was
8 delayed for two years. The project was originally scheduled to be completed
9 in 2011 and 2012. The current plan is to complete the project in 2013 and
10 2104.
- 11 3. The timing of certain Electric Substation projects has been revised. In
12 general, projects that were scheduled for 2010 and 2011 have been delayed
13 until the later years of the study period. The total dollars planned for Electric
14 Substations remains the same.

15 **Q. How have these changes affected the proposed bond issues?**

16 A. By removing some projects and delaying others, the amounts of the 2011 and
17 2012 Capital Bonds have been reduced. The 2014 Capital Bonds have increased
18 and the 2011 Environmental Bonds are the same. The table below summarizes
19 the recommended bond issues required to complete the CIP.

Description	Issue Date	Total Amount of Bond
2011 Capital Bonds	January 2011	\$14.3 million
2011 Environmental Bonds	January 2011	\$40.8 million
2012 Capital Bonds	July 2012	\$67.4 million
2014 Capital Bonds	July 2014	\$73.5 million

1 **Q. What are the results of the revised Rate Plan?**

2 A. Based on the changes described herein and in my rebuttal testimony, the revised
3 Rate Plan indicates a lower rate increase for January 2011 of 5%. The
4 recommended rate increases for 2012 and 2013 remain 7%. The revised five-year
5 financial forecast and cash flow pro forma that compiles all the changes made to
6 the revenue requirements is shown in Tables 1 through 5 of Exhibit CEB-2. As
7 shown on Table 5, Line 48, the BPU gradually improves its days of cash on hand
8 each year and meets the goal of 60 days in 2013. Line 53 of Table 5 shows that
9 the BPU maintains a debt service coverage ratio of at least 1.60 times maximum
10 debt service without PILOT revenue from 2010 through 2014. The coverage ratio
11 stabilizes at 1.62 times in 2014, without the support of a rate increase.

12 ***III. Revised Rate Plan Cost of Service***

13 **Q. Please summarize any changes to the cost of service analysis.**

14 A. As discussed in my rebuttal testimony, we have changed the allocation of
15 proposed debt service to allocate the debt service related to the Advanced
16 Metering Infrastructure (AMI) program on the basis of weighted meters. In my
17 rebuttal testimony, the amount to be allocated was \$820,000, which is the debt
18 service payment related to AMI in 2013, once both bonds have been issued.
19 Because the 2011 Capital Bond issue in the revised Rate Plan is reduced to \$14.3
20 million because of changes in the timing of certain projects, the 2011 payment is
21 now \$786,000. Since this amount is now below the level I proposed to allocate
22 on meters in my rebuttal testimony, I have revised the allocation to be based on
23 the 2012 debt service for AMI of \$683,200. The 2011 debt service payment was

1 not used because it was only a part-year interest payment on the first bond issue.
2 The revised allocation of costs of the AMI program is shown below.

Rate Class	Meters Allocator (CUS3)	Allocated Costs by Rate Class
100 - Residential	73.1%	\$ 499,100
200 – SGS	15.9%	\$ 108,700
300 – LGS	7.1%	\$ 48,700
400 – LPS	1.2%	\$ 8,300
USD 500	0.9%	\$ 6,400
700 – Private Area Lights	0.0%	\$ 200
KCK/BPU/Borderline	1.7%	\$ 11,800
Total	100.0%	\$ 683,200

3 **Q. Have any other changes been made to the cost of service analysis?**

4 A. No. While the detailed revenue requirements that are allocated have changed in
5 value, the methodologies used to allocate those costs are the same as proposed by
6 Mr. Ed Overcast.

7 **Q. What are the results of the cost of service analysis for the revised Rate Plan?**

8 A. As shown on Exhibit CEB-3, Table 7, the revised cost of service analysis using a
9 2011 test year shows the same relative relationships as the original filing. The
10 Residential and Large Power Service (LPS) classes are under recovering their
11 costs of service and all other classes are over recovering their costs of service.

12 ***IV. Recommended Rate Design***

13 **Q. Please describe the process used to develop recommended rates for the**
14 **revised Rate Plan.**

1 A. The revised rate design was prepared in the same manner as the original proposal
 2 and was prepared by Mr. Overcast and myself. The first step was to develop
 3 target levels of adjustment based on the overall annual adjustment and reflective
 4 of the cost of service results. Similar to the plan originally proposed, we
 5 recommended rate increases above the system average for the Residential and
 6 Large Power Service (LPS) classes, and below the system average or no increases
 7 for all other classes. The target increases by class are shown in the table below.

Recommended Base Rate Adjustments by Class				
Rate Class	2010 (1)	2011	2012	2013
Rate 100 - Residential	7.0%	5.5%	7.5%	7.5%
Rate 200 - Small General Service	7.0%	4.7%	6.7%	6.4%
Rate 250 - Medium General Service	7.0%	4.7%	6.7%	6.4%
Rate 300 - Large General Service	7.0%	4.7%	6.7%	6.4%
Rate 400 - Large Power Service	7.0%	6.0%	8.5%	8.5%
USD 500 - School District	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Rate 700 - Lighting	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
System Average	7.0%	5.0%	7.0%	7.0%
(1) Rate increase implemented July 1, 2010				

8 **Q. How were the individual rate components developed for each rate?**

9 A. Mr. Overcast and I followed the same approach described in his direct testimony
 10 and used for the initial recommended rates. The recommended rates to support
 11 the revised Rate Plan are presented in Exhibit CEB-4.

12 ***V. Environmental Surcharge***

13 **Q. Please describe how the Environmental Surcharge (ESC) will be recovered**
 14 **from each class.**

1 A. Exhibit CEB-5 shows the development of the ESC for 2011. The amount to be
2 recovered from each class is based on the capacity allocator for the production
3 function. As shown in Exhibit CEB-5, the percentage allocable to each class is
4 adjusted to assign the cost to only Retail classes. By multiplying the percentage
5 allocable to each class by the total amount to be recovered through the ESC, the
6 dollars to be recovered from each class are established. For customer classes that
7 are primarily billed on an energy basis (not demand metered), the monthly charge
8 is based on a dollar per kWh basis. For customer classes that are all demand
9 metered, the charge will be based on the total billed demand for the class. For
10 Retail Lighting customers, the charge will be based on a per light charge.

11 **Q. How will this analysis be adjusted in future years?**

12 A. The first step will be to determine the amount to be recovered for the following
13 year. This is based on the debt service payment for bonds specific to
14 environmental projects, less contribution from wholesale customers, plus the
15 amortized portion of any cash financed projects, plus or minus a true-up of any
16 over or under recovery in the prior period. The next step will be to update the
17 analysis shown in Exhibit CEB-5 with the billing units forecast for the year. The
18 allocation of costs will remain the same until the next rate hearing that a cost of
19 service analysis is performed.

20 **Q. Does this conclude your recommendation testimony?**

21 A. Yes, it does.

Table 1
Electric System
Annual Sales (MWh)

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Forecast Retail Sales (MWh)							
1	Rate 100 - Residential	503,500	525,200	525,200	528,400	531,800	535,600
2	Rate 200 - Small General Service	201,100	210,400	210,400	211,200	212,600	214,200
3	Rate 300 - Large General Service	623,300	659,900	665,800	669,100	676,900	685,900
4	Rate 400 - Large Power Service	758,200	796,000	799,900	803,600	811,600	820,600
5	Rate 500 - School District	44,700	51,300	48,800	49,500	49,500	49,600
6	Rate 700 - Lighting	<u>7,700</u>	<u>8,300</u>	<u>8,300</u>	<u>8,400</u>	<u>8,400</u>	<u>8,400</u>
7	Total Retail	2,138,500	2,251,100	2,258,400	2,270,200	2,290,800	2,314,300
8	KCK Use	24,000	23,400	23,100	23,100	23,200	23,400
9	KCK Unmetered	26,600	26,000	25,700	25,700	25,800	26,000
10	BPU Interdepartmental	32,600	31,800	31,500	31,500	31,600	31,900
11	Borderline	13,300	18,400	18,800	19,200	19,500	19,800
12	Wholesale MWh	<u>655,400</u>	<u>654,400</u>	<u>655,400</u>	<u>651,400</u>	<u>653,400</u>	<u>656,400</u>
13	Total MWh (no losses)	2,890,400	3,005,100	3,012,900	3,021,100	3,044,300	3,071,800

Table 2
Electric System
Projected Operation and Maintenance Expense by Function

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Non-ERC Capacity Purch	\$ 2,535,100	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,600,000
Production	41,687,000	45,554,300	46,543,300	44,328,600	46,707,800
Transmission	2,526,400	2,588,100	2,688,300	2,772,400	2,859,000
Distribution	20,665,800	21,132,400	21,963,800	22,605,900	23,265,600
Customer Accounts	5,759,200	5,937,200	6,164,100	6,349,400	6,477,900
Sales	497,100	509,400	525,500	538,600	551,900
Admin & General	18,608,200	19,118,200	19,765,500	20,346,700	20,933,800
Total Non-Fuel O&M	\$92,278,800	\$97,439,600	\$100,250,500	\$99,541,600	\$103,396,000

Table 3
Electric System
Capital Improvement Program

Line	Description	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
Electric System Capital Projects							
1	Electric Unit Equipment	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,900,000	\$ 14,600,000
2	Electric Ops General Construction	970,000	890,000	740,000	740,000	640,000	\$ 3,980,000
3	Electric Supply General Construction						\$ 0
4	Electric Accident Claims	108,200	108,200	108,200	108,200	108,200	\$ 541,000
5	Electric Overhead Distribution	4,658,777	9,744,506	5,720,000	3,720,000	3,220,000	\$ 27,063,283
6	Electric UG Distribution	3,250,000	6,750,000	6,250,000	3,750,000	3,000,000	\$ 23,000,000
7	Electric Reimbursable	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	\$ 500,000
8	Electric Transmission	8,950,000	2,100,000	800,000	8,300,000	10,500,000	\$ 30,650,000
9	Electric Transformers	1,150,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,400,000	1,500,000	\$ 6,550,000
10	Electric Meters	-	6,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	1,000,000	\$ 13,000,000
11	Electric Lighting & Signals	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	275,000	\$ 1,275,000
12	Electric Substations	6,339,630	1,400,000	14,200,000	5,700,000	7,550,000	\$ 35,189,630
13	Storm Expenses	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	\$ 5,000
14	Nearman Unit 1	995,000	1,022,000	3,675,000	-	-	\$ 5,692,000
15	Nearman Common	1,090,000	1,870,000	1,450,000	550,000	-	\$ 4,960,000
16	Nearman CT5		-	1,000,000	13,000,000	52,000,000	\$ 66,000,000
17	Nearman General Annual Capital		1,000,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	\$ 9,000,000
18	Quindaro Unit 1	660,000	4,095,000	2,095,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	\$ 10,850,000
19	Quindaro Unit 2	90,000	1,680,000	2,400,000	2,000,000	4,000,000	\$ 10,170,000
20	Quindaro Common	830,000	2,465,000	2,355,000	2,225,000	2,225,000	\$ 10,100,000
21	Quindaro CT1		1,000,000				\$ 1,000,000
22	Quindaro CT2			1,355,000			\$ 1,355,000
23	Quindaro CT3		1,800,000				\$ 1,800,000
24	Electric Control Center	600,000	500,000	1,500,000	-	-	\$ 2,600,000
25	Total Electric Capital Projects	\$ 30,542,608	\$ 44,425,706	\$ 54,649,200	\$ 54,244,200	\$ 96,019,200	\$ 279,880,914
Environmental/AQC Projects							
26	N1 LNB & OFA	\$ 0	\$ 17,000,000	\$ 10,000,000			\$ 27,000,000
27	Q2 LNB & OFA	-	13,000,000				13,000,000
28	Total Environmental/AQC Projects	\$ -	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000,000
Common Projects							
29	Common Furnish and Equipment	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 125,000
30	Common Facility Improvements	261,210	217,400	225,500	225,500	225,500	\$ 1,155,110
31	Common Grounds	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	\$ 50,000
32	Common Technology	360,000	360,000	360,000	360,000	360,000	\$ 1,800,000
33	Administrative Service Technology	435,000	440,000	445,000	445,000	445,000	\$ 2,210,000
34	Common Tele Communications	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	\$ 250,000
35	Total Common Projects	\$ 1,141,210	\$ 1,102,400	\$ 1,115,500	\$ 1,115,500	\$ 1,115,500	\$ 5,590,110
36	Electric Portion of Common Projects @ 80%	912,968	881,920	892,400	892,400	892,400	4,472,088
37	Total CIP	31,455,576	75,307,626	65,541,600	55,136,600	96,911,600	324,353,002
38	Environmental/AQC Projects	-	30,000,000	10,000,000	-	-	40,000,000
39	Net CIP After Environmental	31,455,576	45,307,626	55,541,600	55,136,600	96,911,600	284,353,002
Financing Recap							
41	Series 2009 (25 yrs @ 5.00%)	20,000,000	19,347,844				55,272,000
42	Series 2011 (25 yrs @ 5.5%)	-	14,000,000	-	-	-	14,000,000
44	Series 2011 Environmental (20 yrs @ 5.5%)	-	30,000,000	10,000,000	-	-	40,000,000
45	Series 2012 (25 yrs @ 5.5%)	-	-	36,000,000	30,000,000	-	66,000,000
46	Series 2014 (25 yrs @ 5.5%)	-	-	-	-	72,000,000	72,000,000
47	Net Amount to Cash Finance	11,455,576	11,959,782	19,541,600	25,136,600	24,911,600	104,529,265

Note: All dollar amounts are shown in real dollars unless otherwise noted.

Table 4
Electric System
Capital Financing Plan

<u>Line</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
1	Beginning Fund Balance	39,347,800	19,347,800	10,000,000	30,000,000	-
	Sources of Funds					
2	Cash Funded Capital Projects	11,455,600	11,959,800	19,541,600	25,136,600	24,911,600
3	Environmental Bond Proceeds at Par	-	40,820,000	-	-	-
4	Capital Bond Proceeds at Par	-	14,290,000	67,350,000		73,470,000
5	Total Sources	11,455,600	67,069,800	86,891,600	25,136,600	98,381,600
	Uses of Funds					
6	Capital Improvements	31,455,600	75,307,600	65,541,600	55,136,600	96,911,600
7	Debt Issuance Expense	-	1,110,000	1,350,000	-	1,470,000
8	Total Uses	31,455,600	76,417,600	66,891,600	55,136,600	98,381,600
9	Ending CIP Fund Balance	\$ 19,347,800	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	\$ -	\$ -

Table 6
Test Year Cost of Service - Revised Rate Plan
Summary of Revenue Requirements

Line	Description	Test Year			Notes	Test Year Base COS
		2011 Test Year	Pro Forma Adjustment	2011		
CASH BASIS						
1	Revenue Requirements:					
2	Fuel Expense					
3	Retail					
4	Generation Fuel Costs	\$ 40,485,000	\$ (40,485,000)	(a)	\$ -	
5	Purchased Power	21,415,400	(21,415,400)	(a)	-	
6	Total Retail Fuel	\$ 61,900,400	\$ (61,900,400)		\$ -	0
8	Borderline Fuel Costs	\$ 385,900	\$ (385,900)	(c)	\$ -	
9	Nearman Participants Fuel Cost	6,610,700	(6,610,700)	(c)	-	
10	Off System Fuel Costs	7,621,500	(7,621,500)	(c)	-	
11	Total Fuel Expense	\$ 14,618,100	\$ (14,618,100)		\$ -	0
12	Operation and Maintenance Expense					
13	Non-ERC Capacity Purchases	\$ 2,600,000			\$ 2,600,000	
14	Production	45,554,300			45,554,300	
15	Transmission	2,588,100			2,588,100	
16	Distribution	21,132,400			21,132,400	
17	Customer Accounts	5,937,200			5,937,200	
18	Sales	509,400			509,400	
19	Administrative and General	19,118,200			19,118,200	
20	Total O&M Expense	\$ 97,439,600	\$ 0		\$ 97,439,600	
21	Total Expenses	\$ 173,958,100	\$ (76,518,500)		\$ 97,439,600	
22	Debt Service					
23	Existing Debt Service	\$ 22,368,700			\$ 22,368,700	
24	2011 Capital Bonds (\$14.3 million)	102,800			102,800	
25	Meters Program	683,200			683,200	
26	2011 Environmental Bonds (\$40.8 million)	2,245,100		(b)	2,245,100	
27	Total Debt Service	\$ 25,399,800	\$ 0		\$ 25,399,800	
28	Recommended Uses of Balance Available for Transfers Out:					
29	PILOT	\$ 24,340,600	\$ (24,340,600)	(d)	\$ -	
30	Cash Financed Capital Projects	11,959,800			11,959,800	
31	Less: Reimbursable Projects	(734,700)			(734,700)	
32	Capital Lease Payments	293,000			293,000	
33	Heat Pump Program	449,900			449,900	
34	Economic Development Fund Authorization	500,000			500,000	
35	Deposit to Operating Reserve		7,211,500		7,211,500	
36	Total Other Exp. And Transfers	\$ 36,808,600	\$ (17,129,100)		\$ 19,679,500	
37	Total Revenue Requirements	\$ 236,166,500	\$ (93,647,600)		\$ 142,518,900	
38	Less: Other Revenue					
39	Other Revenue:					
40	PILOT	\$ 24,340,600	\$ (24,340,600)	(d)	\$ -	
41	Forfeited Discounts	2,228,500			2,228,500	
42	Connect/Disconnect Fees	1,061,200			1,061,200	
43	Tower/Pole Attachment Rentals	1,027,100			1,027,100	
44	Ash Disposal	159,200			159,200	
45	Diversion Fines	63,600			63,600	
46	Service Fees	1,273,500			1,273,500	
47	Other Miscellaneous Revenues	147,700			147,700	
48	Investment Income	912,400			912,400	
49	Environmental Surcharge	1,749,900		(b)	1,749,900	
50	Nearman Participants Margin	8,751,400			8,751,400	
51	Borderline Margin	380,000			380,000	
52	Off System Sales Margin	2,558,300			2,558,300	
53	Total Other Revenue	\$ (44,653,400)	\$ 24,340,600		\$ (20,312,800)	
54	Total Revenue Requirement	\$ 191,513,100	\$ (69,307,000)		\$ 122,206,100	
	Margin Adjustment		\$ -		\$ -	
	Net Revenue Requirement	191,513,100	(69,307,000)		122,206,100	
55	Revenues:					
56	Retail Base Revenues	\$ 108,772,700	\$ 7,614,100	(e)	\$ 116,386,800	
57	ERC Revenues	61,900,400	(61,900,400)	(a)	-	
58	Borderline Fuel Costs	385,900	(385,900)	(c)	-	
59	Nearman Participants Fuel Cost	6,610,700	(6,610,700)	(c)	-	
60	Off System Fuel Costs	7,621,500	(7,621,500)	(c)	-	
61	Total Revenues	\$ 185,291,200	\$ (68,904,400)		\$ 116,386,800	
62	Base Revenue Increase:					
63	Amount				\$ 5,819,300	
64	Percent				5.00%	

(a) Fuel and Purchased Power recovered in ERC
 (b) Environmental Debt Service recovered in ERSC and AQC Revenue from Nearman Participants
 (c) Wholesale Fuel and Purchased Power recovered in Wholesale Revenue
 (d) PILOT direct billed to customers, not included in Cost of Service
 (e) Additional Revenue from 2010 7% Rate Increase

Table 7
Cost of Service Summary - Revised Rate Plan
Electric System

		Test Year					
		2011					
Line	Description	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
		2011 Retail Sales	Base Revenue Existing Rates	Base Net COS	Base COS Adjustment	Base Difference	
		MWh				Amount	Percent
						(c) + (d) - (b)	(e) / (b)
1	Rate 100 - Residential	525,174	\$ 35,933,320	\$ 38,646,876	\$ 1,974,503	\$ 4,688,059	13.0%
2	Rate 200 - Small General Service	210,426	17,007,038	15,044,613	\$ 784,637	(1,177,788)	-6.9%
3	Rate 300 - Large General Service	665,784	36,233,357	30,865,358	\$ 2,235,432	(3,132,567)	-8.6%
4	Rate 400 - Large Power Service	799,922	22,269,511	25,749,263	\$ 2,518,427	5,998,179	26.9%
5	USD 500 - School District	48,829	3,345,049	2,850,779	\$ 172,618	(321,652)	-9.6%
6	Rate 700 - Lighting	8,320	1,598,483	1,321,831	\$ 41,759	(234,893)	-14.7%
7	Borderline		379,982	976,021	(596,039) (1)		
8	KCK		-	5,060,766	(5,060,766) (1)		
9	BPU Interdepartmental		-	1,690,588	(1,690,588) (2)		
10	Total	2,258,454	\$ 116,766,741	\$ 122,206,095	\$ 379,983	\$ 5,819,337	5.0%

(1) Allocated to Paying Classes on basis of Retail Sales, Column (a)

(2) Allocated to Paying Classes on basis of Base Net COS, Column (c)

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
RECOMMENDED RATES TABLE
2011 TEST YEAR

Rate Class: Residential

Description	Present	Recommended Rate		
	Rate	2011	2012	2013
100 - Residential				
Customer Charge	\$ 7.06	\$ 12.25	\$ 13.75	\$ 15.25
Energy Charge				
First 1000 kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.06020	\$ 0.06300	\$ 0.06690	\$ 0.07120
Winter	\$ 0.06020	\$ 0.04950	\$ 0.05250	\$ 0.05590
Next 1000 kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.07190	\$ 0.07400	\$ 0.07850	\$ 0.08360
Winter	\$ 0.02850	\$ 0.04800	\$ 0.05090	\$ 0.05420
All Additional kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.10610	\$ 0.09500	\$ 0.10080	\$ 0.10730
Winter	\$ 0.02850	\$ 0.04800	\$ 0.05090	\$ 0.05420
101 - Residential Electric Heating				
Customer Charge	\$ 7.06	\$ 12.25	\$ 13.00	\$ 13.84
Energy Charge				
First 1000 kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.06020	\$ 0.06300	\$ 0.06690	\$ 0.07120
Winter	\$ 0.06020	\$ 0.04950	\$ 0.05250	\$ 0.05590
Next 1000 kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.07190	\$ 0.07400	\$ 0.07850	\$ 0.08360
Winter	\$ 0.02850	\$ 0.03500	\$ 0.03710	\$ 0.03950
All Additional kWh				
Summer (1)	\$ 0.10610	\$ 0.09500	\$ 0.10080	\$ 0.10730
Winter	\$ 0.02850	\$ 0.03000	\$ 0.03180	\$ 0.03380

(1) Existing Summer season is May - October. Proposed billing units reflects proposed May - August Summer season.

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
RECOMMENDED RATES TABLE
2011 TEST YEAR

Rate Class: Small General Service

Description	Present	Recommended Rate		
	Rate	2011	2012	2013
200 - Small General Service				
Customer Charge	\$ 17.66	\$ 25.00	\$ 27.50	\$ 30.00
Facilities Demand				
Secondary Service	\$ 2.64	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.34	\$ 2.48
Primary Service	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.97
Billed Demand				
First 10 kW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
All Additional kW	\$ 6.47	\$ 6.28	\$ 6.68	\$ 7.09
Energy Charge				
First 3500 kWh				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.07580	\$ 0.07580	\$ 0.08060	\$ 0.08560
Winter	\$ 0.07580	\$ 0.06580	\$ 0.06990	\$ 0.07420
All Additional kWh				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.01200	\$ 0.03210	\$ 0.03410	\$ 0.03620
Winter	\$ 0.01200	\$ 0.02210	\$ 0.02350	\$ 0.02490
Metering Adjustment				
Secondary	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Primary	-2.3%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
RECOMMENDED RATES TABLE
2011 TEST YEAR

Rate Class: Medium General Service

Description	Present	Recommended Rate		
	Rate	2011	2012	2013
250 - Medium General Service				
Customer Charge	\$ 41.17	\$ 55.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 65.00
Facilities Demand				
Secondary Service	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.85	\$ 2.99
Primary Service	\$ 1.35	\$ 2.16	\$ 2.27	\$ 2.38
Billed Demand				
All kW	\$ 6.17	\$ 6.47	\$ 6.79	\$ 7.11
Energy Charge				
First 300 kWh per kW				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.03710	\$ 0.04500	\$ 0.04730	\$ 0.04950
Winter	\$ 0.03710	\$ 0.03500	\$ 0.03680	\$ 0.03850
All Additional kWh				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.01090	\$ 0.01500	\$ 0.01580	\$ 0.01660
Winter	\$ 0.01090	\$ 0.01300	\$ 0.01370	\$ 0.01440
Metering Adjustment				
Secondary	2.3%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Primary	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
RECOMMENDED RATES TABLE
2011 TEST YEAR

Rate Class: Large General Service

Description	Present Rate	Recommended Rate		
		2011	2012	2013
300 - Large General Service				
Customer Charge	\$ 41.17	\$ 90.00	\$ 97.00	\$ 107.00
Facilities Demand				
Secondary Service	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.87	\$ 3.03
Primary Service	\$ 1.35	\$ 2.16	\$ 2.29	\$ 2.42
Billed Demand				
All kW	\$ 6.17	\$ 7.46	\$ 7.89	\$ 8.33
Energy Charge				
First 300 kWh per kW				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.03710	\$ 0.03900	\$ 0.04130	\$ 0.04360
Winter	\$ 0.03710	\$ 0.03000	\$ 0.03170	\$ 0.03350
All Additional kWh				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.01090	\$ 0.01300	\$ 0.01380	\$ 0.01460
Winter	\$ 0.01090	\$ 0.01300	\$ 0.01380	\$ 0.01460
Metering Adjustment				
Secondary	2.3%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Primary	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
RECOMMENDED RATES TABLE
2011 TEST YEAR

Rate Class: Large Power Service

Description	Present	Recommended Rate		
	Rate	2011	2012	2013
400 - Large Power Service				
Customer Charge	\$ 117.64	\$ 175.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 275.00
Facilities Demand				
Secondary Service	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.22	\$ 2.40	\$ 2.59
Primary Service	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.78	\$ 1.93	\$ 2.08
Substation Service	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.77
Billed Demand				
All kW	\$ 7.30	\$ 7.67	\$ 8.30	\$ 8.95
Energy Charge				
First 300 kWh per kW				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.02170	\$ 0.02140	\$ 0.02310	\$ 0.02490
Winter	\$ 0.02170	\$ 0.01940	\$ 0.02100	\$ 0.02264
All Additional kWh				
Summer (May - August)	\$ 0.01080	\$ 0.01020	\$ 0.01100	\$ 0.01186
Winter	\$ 0.01080	\$ 0.00920	\$ 0.01000	\$ 0.01078
Metering Adjustment				
Secondary	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Primary	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Substation	-3.8%	-2.8%	-2.8%	-2.8%
Transmission	-4.3%	-3.3%	-3.3%	-3.3%

Table 8
Development of Environmental Surcharge Rates
2011 Test Year

Rate Class	AED Allocator	Adjusted for Retail	Allocated Cost	2011 Billing Units			Charge per Month
				kWh	kW	Lights	
	CAP4						
Rate 100 - Residential	26.54%	27.69%	\$484,547	525,174,299			\$ 0.0009 \$/kWh
Rate 200 - Small General Service	12.07%	12.59%	\$220,312	210,425,754			\$ 0.0010 \$/kWh
Rate 300 - Large General Service	28.67%	29.90%	\$523,220		133,150		\$ 0.327 \$/kW
Rate 400 - Large Power Service	25.59%	26.69%	\$467,048		110,760		\$ 0.351 \$/kW
Rate 500 - School District	2.80%	2.92%	\$51,097	48,828,793			\$ 0.0010 \$/kWh
Rate 700 - Lighting	0.20%	0.21%	\$3,675			4,375	\$ 0.0700 \$/light
KCK/BPU/Borderline	4.13%						
	100.00%	100.00%	\$1,749,900				